

CHAPTER 5

PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

5.1 Introduction.....	3
5.1.1 Features of Good Procurement Systems	3
5.1.2 Methods of Procurement.....	4
5.2 Selecting a Provider – Non-Construction Services	5
5.2.1 Applicability of Competitive Proposals	5
(Administrative and Professional Services Contracts)	5
5.2.2 Step-By-Step Selection – Pre-Qualified Provider Method.....	8
5.2.3 Step-by-Step Selection Procedures for Competitive Proposal (Traditional)	11
5.2.4 Selection of Subrecipient Services Provider	16
5.3 Construction Services and Materials Contracts	16
5.3.1 Applicability	16
5.3.2 Sealed Bid Procurement – Traditional Method	16
5.3.3 Sealed Bid Procurement – Third Party Cooperative Purchase	19
5.3.4 Small Purchase Procurement	20
5.3.5 Micro-Purchases	22
5.4 Non-Competitive Proposal Procurement.....	22
5.5 Steps for Award and Contracting	23
5.5.1 SAM Clearance	23
5.5.2 Award by Governing Body	23
5.5.3 Prepare and Execute Contract/Agreement	24
5.4.4 Workers’ Compensation Requirements	25
5.4.5 Bonding Information	26
5.6 Changes to an Executed Contract	26
5.6.1 Change Orders.....	26
5.6.2 Performance Bond Claims and Assignment to New Contractor	28
5.7 Recordkeeping Procedures.....	29
5.7.1 TDA-GO Material and Services Report.....	29
5.7.2 Local Records	33

5.7.1 Micro-purchases.....	33
5.7.2 Competitive Proposal – Non-construction Services	33
5.7.3 Construction and Material Purchases	34
5.8 Federal and State Laws Governing Procurement of Services, Supplies and Non-Real Property..	34
5.8.1 State Laws & Regulations	34
5.8.2 Federal Laws & Regulations	36

CHAPTER 5

PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES

5.1 Introduction

Procurement is the process through which an entity obtains goods and services from vendors. Both the federal government and the state of Texas have established a set of procurement rules that apply to TxCDBG-funded projects. The procurement procedures set forth in this chapter comply with both sets of regulations. In addition to applicable federal and state regulations, many local governments have laws and regulations regarding procurement. Grant Recipients must comply with all local laws governing procurement policies. In the event that federal, state, and local law conflict, the most stringent regulation applies.

5.1.1 Features of Good Procurement Systems

A good procurement system should facilitate the Grant Recipient's ability to

- identify and specify standards for the goods or services the Grant Applicant desires. Invitations for bids or requests for proposals must be clearly written and must describe the technical requirements of the equipment or services.
- obtain an independent cost estimate for the goods or services.
- seek competitive offers to obtain the best possible quality at the best possible price.
- promote the maximum use of small, minority-owned, and women-owned businesses, and Section 3 businesses using suggestions found in *Chapter 10*.
- have adequate quality assurance and oversight systems in place to ensure that contractors perform in accordance with the terms, conditions, and specifications of their contracts or purchase orders.
- review all procurements to avoid unnecessary and duplicative purchases and to ensure costs are reasonable.
- use a written agreement that clearly states the responsibilities of each party; the method of contracting, fixed price, cost plus fixed fee, purchase orders, etc. should be appropriate.

Procurement for eligible costs must **not** include the following:

- Cost-plus-a-percentage-of-cost or percentage of construction cost methods of contracting. Contracts using this method will be disallowed entirely, ineligible for any cost reimbursement or match credit.
- Line items that do not describe actual work to be completed. Any line item for profit, overhead, contingency, or other related terms that do not describe actual work to be performed will not be reimbursed.
- Retainer fees or retainer contracts are not allowable forms of procurement.
- Conflicts of interest: TDA cannot grant an exception to or waiver of conflict-of-interest regulations related to procurement, regardless of mitigating circumstances. See *Chapter 1*.

- Any situation considered to be restrictive of competition such as specifying a brand name product. See 2 CFR § 200.319 (a)(1-7) for examples.

Grant Recipients must provide adequate documentation to demonstrate that the selection process was carried out in an open, fair, uniform, and thorough manner and ensure that federal and state procurement requirements were met. Failure to maintain proper documentation may result in disallowed costs. These records must include, but are not limited to, the following information:

- Rationale for the method of procurement;
- Solicitation/response;
- Evaluation and selection criteria;
- Contractor selection or rejection; and
- The basis for the cost or price. The Grant Applicant should clearly identify during the procurement process any items included in the bid / purchase that are not associated with funded project activities included in the TxCDBG contract.

TDA recommends that the Grant Recipient's attorney review bid packets and contracts before execution in order to ensure that all federal and state TxCDBG requirements, local laws and requirements specific to that municipality or county are incorporated. An Attorney Review Certification form for construction contracts is included with the sample bid packet documents in Appendix F.

A Grant Recipient may delegate procurement responsibilities be implemented by a subrecipient or partner organization; however, procurement actions related to the grant must comply with this chapter unless otherwise exempted, regardless of the party carrying out the purchase.

The TDA-GO Materials and Services Report (MSR) is used to report procurement of any goods or services throughout the grant project. The Grant Recipient will update the MSR several times throughout the project. The MSR is referenced at the appropriate steps in each of the sections below, and detailed instructions for completing this report can be found in section 5.7.1.

5.1.2 Methods of Procurement

The Grant Recipient must use one of the following methods of procurement:

- **Competitive Proposals for Administrative/Professional Services** – For purchases where conditions for sealed bids are not appropriate, this is the preferred method for the procurement of non-construction services. This chapter provides several options available under this category.
- **Micro-purchases Less Than or Equal to \$2,000** – For the purchase of supplies or services that are in the aggregate less than or equal to \$2,000, Grant Recipients may use simplified acquisition procedures instead of small purchase procedures as described below. Grant Recipients must, to the extent practicable, distribute these purchases equitably among qualified suppliers.
- **Small Purchase** – The acquisition of supplies or services greater than \$2,000 and less than or equal to \$50,000. Small purchase procedures are relatively simple and informal procurement methods for securing services, supplies, or other property. Price or rate quotations must be requested from at least three qualified sources.
- **Sealed Bids (Formal Advertising)** – For purchases greater than \$50,000 the preferred method for a construction contract is a publicly solicited bid with a firm, fixed price—either lump sum or unit price—and awarded to the responsible bidder whose bid, conforming to all

the material terms and conditions of the invitation for bids, is the lowest in price. State law allows governmental entities to procure some goods and services through an interlocal agreement with an authorized purchasing cooperative. The cooperative must comply with state and federal competitive bidding requirements.

- **Noncompetitive Proposals** – Procurement through solicitation of a proposal from only one source and may be used only under special circumstances which are applicable for all purchase levels. All special circumstances must be TDA approved.

SOURCE: 2 CFR § 200.320

The Grant Applicant must obtain **written** approval from TDA prior to using any method of procurement not described in this chapter (e.g., use of the competitive sealed proposal method for procurement of construction services or equipment).

5.2 Selecting a Provider – Non-Construction Services

5.2.1 Applicability of Competitive Proposals (Administrative and Professional Services Contracts)

The Competitive Proposal procurement method applies to all administrative and professional services contracts paid in whole or in part with TxCDBG funds.

Competitive Proposal procurement is used when conditions are not appropriate for the use of sealed bids and is the preferred method of selection of professional services—such as grant administrative, engineering, architectural, or surveying services. Unlike sealed bidding, the competitive proposal method permits consideration of technical factors other than price and negotiation of contract price or estimated cost, and other contract terms and conditions. All contracts must be awarded to the responsible firm whose proposal is most advantageous to the program, with price *and* other factors considered.

Important Competitive Proposal Requirements

- All administrative and professional services related to TxCDBG projects must be procured competitively, regardless of the source of funds that will pay for the service contracts.
 - Administrative and professional services paid in whole or in part with TxCDBG funds must use the step-by-step procurement procedures described below.
 - Administrative services and professional services paid solely with local or matching funds must use the TxCDBG process or a competitive procurement process found in local policies.
- If procurement procedures are not performed correctly, fees for administrative and/or professional services will not be eligible for reimbursement with grant funds or count towards community match funds.
- If pre-qualified lists of persons, firms—other than those provided by TDA—or products are used for acquiring goods and services, the Grant Recipient must keep the list current and include enough qualified sources as to ensure open competition. See 2 CFR § 200.319(d).

- TxCDBG funds and matching funds may not be used to reimburse for services provided prior to the date of the TxCDBG grant contract except for eligible pre-award costs and/or activities approved by TDA through the pre-agreement process. See *Chapter 1* regarding the timeframe in which costs are eligible under the pre-agreement plan.
- Grant Recipients that designate a Council of Governments (COGs) as the subrecipient to carry out an eligible activity—such as administrative services—must award a subrecipient interlocal agreement before the provider conducts any work to prepare the TxCDBG application for TxCDBG grant funding.
- If an administrative or professional services contractor is preselected and a contract is entered into prior to grant award, the contract should include a statement which states that in the event TxCDBG funds are not awarded to the applicant, the contract shall be terminated by the applicant.
- The cost of preparing a TxCDBG application is an ineligible cost and is not reimbursable.

Price as a Selection Factor

Texas statutes make a distinction between **professional services** and other non-construction services. The professional services generally used for TxCDBG projects means services within the scope of the practice, as defined by state law, of

- accounting
- architecture
- landscape architecture
- land surveying
- professional engineering
- real estate appraising or
- provided in connection with the professional employment or practice of a person who is licensed or registered as
 - a certified public accountant
 - an architect
 - a landscape architect
 - a land surveyor
 - a professional engineer; or a state certified or state licensed real estate appraiser.

For the procurement of architecture, engineering, or land surveying services, price may **not** be used as a selection factor and the Grant Recipient must choose the most highly qualified provider of these services on the basis of demonstrated competence and qualifications. Once the most qualified respondent is chosen, then a fair and reasonable price may be negotiated. See 2 CFR § 200.320(d)(5) and Texas Government Code, § 2254.004.

In contrast, for administrative services and other non-construction services not listed above, including grant writing and planning, price may be a selection factor and must be taken into consideration during the procurement process.

Non-Construction Services Exempt from Competitive Procurement

The procurement requirements described in this chapter will not apply to

- administrative and professional services performed by an employee of the Grant Recipient; and
- a sub-applicant interlocal agreement with an agency of the state, such as an agreement with a COG, whereby a Grant Recipient designates the subrecipient to carry out an eligible activity—

such as administrative services—for the Grant Recipient. See Section 791.001 of the Texas Government Code. Even though the procurement requirements described in this chapter do not apply with an agency of the state, all conflict-of-interest situations apply as described in Section 5.1.2 of this chapter.

Engineering Services

The Texas Engineering Practice Act requires most public works projects to be designed, supervised, inspected, and accepted by a registered professional engineer. If the project is exempt from this requirement under Texas Occupations Code §1001.053, the Grant Recipient must document the exception through a letter certified by the chief local official.

Testing Services

- The Grant Recipient shall determine whether the testing is a component of construction or a non-construction/professional service, and then must be consistent with that decision in procurement and requests for reimbursement. **EXAMPLE:** Drilling a test well can be considered either a component of construction or a professional service; however, if the test well is procured as an engineering contract (or subcontract), all payment requests must be charged to the engineering line item. To determine if Davis-Bacon applies to a test well, please refer to Department of Labor (DOL) Field Operations handbook, 15d05, for additional guidance.
- Testing services which require professional services must comply with the State of Texas' Professional Services Procurement Act. See Texas Government Code, Chapter 2254.
- Other services necessary to complete the TxCDBG-funded project may be requested for approval by completing the special requests section of the TDA-GO grant overview page.

Administrator Training Certification

Administrators must be certified annually by TDA to be eligible to be paid from TxCDBG grant funds or match funds. This certification is separate from participation in the pre-qualified procurement process. See TDA's website for current certification procedures—which may include attending training, completion of a certification exam, or both.

Any person who intends to actually perform grant administration on behalf of a locality must be certified. Each person within a firm who will be primarily responsible for administration or serve as the administrative point of contact for a TxCDBG grant must be certified. Grant Recipients need to be aware that administrative costs will be disallowed if the selected administrator has not received such annual certification. See 4 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) §30.80.

Non-Construction Services to Prepare Grant Applications

If a provider intends to provide administration, planning, engineering, or similar services for a TxCDBG grant, if funded, the provider must not have any involvement in preparation of the application for grant funds until after formal award of a services contract for project implementation services. If a Grant Administrator has been involved with discussions or decisions regarding a grant application prior to the procurement process for administrative services, that firm may not be selected as the Grant Administrator.

- If the community chooses to execute the contract(s) for administration, planning, engineering, or other non-construction services prior to submittal of the application, include language stating that payment for project implementation services are contingent on receipt of grant funds. **EXAMPLE:** Payment of the fees associated with Part III – Payment Schedule of this

Agreement shall be contingent upon TxCDBG funding. In the event that grant funds are not awarded to the city/county by TDA through the TxCDBG program, this agreement shall be terminated by the city/county.

- The community may also choose not to execute the contract(s) for project implementation services prior to funding; however, the award of the contract must be reflected in the minutes of the governing body.
- A non-construction services contract must include only one TxCDBG-funded project unless explicitly approved by TDA in writing. TxCDBG grants are intended to fund stand-alone projects as described in the respective Performance Statement. Separate services contracts are appropriate to ensure all requirements for each grant are satisfied and to ensure that costs are charged to the appropriate grant.

5.2.2 Step-By-Step Selection – Pre-Qualified Provider Method

Grant Recipients must identify any person or firm contracted to provide services for the project, regardless of the source of funds use to pay that contract. For administrative and planning services that are expected to fall below the \$50,000 threshold, and regardless of the funding source, Grant Recipients must use the following pre-qualified process, unless otherwise approved by TDA.

Vendor Solicitation

To simplify the procurement process for communities, TDA solicits **Applications to Prequalify Grant Administrators/Planners** from vendors interested in grant writing and administration for TxCDBG projects. TDA prequalifies grant administrators and grant planning service providers in the same way. See the TDA website for the current solicitation schedule.

Although this process is primarily intended for procurement of administrative services needed to implement a project if funded, the community may choose to include grant writing services in the solicitation as well.

Pre-Qualification of a Grant Administrator/Planner (Phase One)

TDA prepares an application to prequalify Grant Administrators in order to collect qualifications. The opportunity is advertised across the state and open to all qualified respondents. Grant Administrators then submit their qualifications directly to TDA using the two-part application form.

TDA reviews the submittals for responsiveness and prepares approved vendor lists of pre-qualified Grant Administrators and prequalified planners. Preapproval of a Grant Administrator or planner indicates that the application is complete and timely. Preapproval does **not** include an evaluation of the quality of services offered by the administrator or planner. However, any vendor suspended from the TxCDBG program based on serious non-compliance will not be included on the pre-qualified list. (Texas Administrative Code Title 4 § 30.82)

Six Steps to be Completed by the Grant Recipient Community (Phase Two)

Grant Recipients may select vendors included on the Approved Vendor List using the following procedures.

Step 1. Identify Selection Committee

To complete Phase Two, the Grant Recipient must first assemble a selection committee. The selection committee establishes the criteria or evaluation factors to be used for selection and rating of competing respondents. The committee must include at least one local elected official or a city/county employee with authority to act on behalf of the local elected official in this capacity—such as the mayor, county judge, or a member of the elected governing body. The committee may consist of the entire local governing body, a subset of the governing body, other officers or employees of the locality, or employees or officers of third-party public utilities served through the project.

The selection committee members must have no potential conflicts of interest with any of the individuals, firms, or agencies under review, e.g., family relationships, close friendships, business dealings, and shall adhere to the conflict of interest policy stated herein. See *Section 5.1.2* above and *Appendix I*.

Update the MSR with selection committee members. For instructions on updating the MSR, see *5.7 Record Keeping Procedures* in this chapter.

Step 2. Review Approved Vendor Applications and Select Firms to Further Consider

The evaluation committee should review the responses for each application to pre-qualify Grant Administrators or planners provided to TDA for each approved vendor.

Communities may request a copy of Part Two responses for any approved vendor by sending an email listing the requested vendors to CDBGapps@TexasAgriculture.gov. Part Two includes responses to the following:

- Describe the character, integrity, and reputation of the respondent and key personnel—including whether the respondent is in good standing with TDA, and former and current clients.
- Has the respondent ever had a contract terminated or denied renewal for noncompliance with policies or regulations of any state or federally funded program within the past five years?
- Has the respondent or any of its principals ever been debarred, suspended, or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in any federally assisted programs?

Based on the available qualifications, the evaluation committee **must select at least three individuals/firms** from the Pre-Qualified Administrators or Pre-Qualified Planners on the approved vendor list to consider further. The committee may choose to consider as many approved vendors as it wishes.

The TDA website identifies each approved vendor that has self-identified as a minority-owned business (MBE), woman-owned business (WBE), small business enterprise (SBE), or Section 3 business (S3). One or more of the approved vendors selected for further consideration **must** qualify under at least one of these three categories.

Step 3. Complete Phase Two Solicitation – Request for Project-Specific Proposal

Enter information in each field of the *Phase Two Solicitation for Administrative Services – Request – Request for Project-Specific Proposal (Form A506)* to request a project-specific proposal, including services to be provided and costs. Only one fund per form; create a

separate **A506** for each additional program. **EXAMPLE:** If a community is procuring an administrator for a Community Development Fund application and a Downtown Revitalization Fund application, a separate A506 is needed for each fund.

- **Description of Anticipated Project(s)** – Provide any information available to describe the potential grant project. This description should help vendors understand the community's priorities—but is not binding for the final grant application.

EXAMPLES:

- Water and/or sewer improvements;
 - Infrastructure improvements;
 - Sidewalk and lighting improvements;
 - Housing rehabilitation project(s) in multiple colonia areas; and
 - Unknown pending public hearing.
- **Anticipated Scope of Work** – Check all that apply. For additional information, please see the *Request for Project-Specific Proposal (Form A506)*.
 - **Evaluation Criteria** – Develop written, weighted criteria that will be used to evaluate proposals. **Form A506** lists several criteria that may be used, or the community may list additional elements to be considered. Cost must be included in the evaluation and selection criteria. The relative importance of all criteria must be identified:
 - If using scores, list the maximum number of points for each criterion.
 - The evaluation committee may also use categories such as very important, somewhat important, or minor importance to describe the weight of each criterion.

Send **Form A506** to each vendor identified in **Step 2**. Email contact information is provided on the form for each vendor. Allow the vendors a reasonable period of time to prepare a proposal. TDA considers five to ten days to be reasonable for most projects, but the community must determine what is reasonable for the anticipated project. Print a copy of each **Form A506** sent to the vendors for your files.

Update the MSR with phase two solicitations. For instructions on updating the MSR, see 5.7 *Record Keeping Procedures* in this chapter.

Step 4. Receive and Review the Proposals

Vendors interested in competing for a services contract will respond to the Phase Two solicitation. Vendors may use the optional form provided by TDA *Phase Two Solicitation for Administrative Services – Response from Service Provider (Form A507)* or may provide information in their own format. In either case, the proposal must outline the services being offered and the proposed cost of those services.

The evaluation committee must review all proposals using the specific criteria established in **Step 3**, and determine most responsive and responsible respondent whose proposal is most advantageous to the program, with price and other factors considered. The evaluation committee makes a recommendation to the Grant Recipient's governing body (city council or commissioners court).

NOTE: Keep all documentation of the procurement process to demonstrate compliance.

5.2.3 Step-by-Step Selection Procedures for Competitive Proposal (Traditional)

For non-construction services that do not meet the parameters of the pre-qualified provider method (administrative/planning services expected to exceed \$50,000, and professional services), the Grant Recipient must use the full traditional competitive proposal method.

Step 1. Establish Selection Committee

The selection committee establishes the criteria or evaluation factors to be used for selection and rating of competing respondents. The committee must include at least one local elected official or a city/county employee with authority to act on behalf of the local elected official in this capacity—such as the mayor, county judge, or a member of the elected governing body. The committee may consist of the entire local governing body, a subset of the governing body, other officers or employees of the locality, or employees or officers of third-party public utilities served through the project.

The selection committee members shall have no potential conflicts of interest with any of the individuals, firms, or agencies under review, e.g., family relationships, close friendships, business dealings, and shall adhere to the conflict of interest policy stated herein. See Section 5.1.2 above and Appendix I.

Update the MSR with selection committee members. For instructions on updating the MSR, see *5.7 Record Keeping Procedures* in this chapter.

Step 2. Determine the Scope of Services

The scope of work for non-construction services contract describes all desired tasks that a Grant Recipient expects a provider to perform under a contract. The scope of work should itemize tasks needed, with timeframes and achievable goals as appropriate and is the basis for the Request for Proposals (RFP)/Request for Qualifications (RFQ) and services contract.

Step 3. Establish Written Selection Criteria

The committee's written selection criteria should include, at a minimum, a clear and accurate description of the technical requirements of the services to be procured. Such descriptions shall not contain features that unduly restrict competition. TDA strongly recommends that the Grant Recipient use a scoring method for reviewing administrative and professional services proposals. See Appendices D and E.

The specific criteria to be used by the Grant Recipient should fit the needs of the desired services and the specifics of the proposed project. The importance of each of these factors should be determined before the review process begins by assigning weighted values to each factor.

The local governing body has final authority to approve all contracts and should be closely involved in the establishment of the written selection criteria. Sample rating sheets for administrative and similar services are found in Appendix D. A sample rating sheet for Engineers/Architects/Surveyors is found in Appendix E.

Step 4. Develop the Request for Proposals/Qualifications Package

The Grant Recipient must develop an Request for Proposal (RFP) (administrative/planning/similar services) or Request for Qualifications (RFQ) (engineering/architectural/surveying services) package to issue to potential respondents. RFP/RFQs must identify all evaluation criteria factors and their relative importance.

Each RFP/RFQ should include only one TxCDBG-funded project. If the Grant Recipient would like to issue a single RFP that includes several stand-alone TxCDBG project(s) or pending applications, the packet must clearly identify each separate grant project or application cycle and the services requested. The RFP/RFQ must request separate pricing information for each grant project, if applicable, and must state whether contracts may be awarded to multiple respondents based on the single RFP/RFQ.

TDA will **not** accept RFP/RFQs that include future application cycles beyond the program year for which an application is currently or next expected to be available. **BEST PRACTICE:** Treat each grant as a separate procurement, requiring separate RFPs/RFQs scoring, and award, even if the advertisements are combined.

Step 4.1. Request for Proposal of Administrative, Planning, and Similar and Services

The RFP package for administrative/professional services must contain three parts. Samples of each required documents are found in Appendix D.

1. **Cover Letter:** The purpose of this letter is to solicit proposals from interested parties. This can be tailored to the Grant Recipient's specific project.
2. **Request for Proposals (RFP):** The RFP provides detailed instructions to respondents and the criteria to be used in evaluating proposals.

The RFP should include the following components:

- The request for proposal, including specifications and attachments, must clearly describe the scope of services required and identify the factors that will be used to evaluate proposals.
- Either the sample administrative/professional services rating sheet for administrative services or similar rating sheet with weighted criteria.

And should request the following:

- A statement of qualifications;
- Proposed cost of services/fee structure;
- Related experience with federal programs;
- Capacity to perform the specific proposed task;
- Experience in developing and/or implementing civil rights/equal opportunity/fair housing activities
- References—a list of past/current clients so that the proposals can be ranked based on past performance. The selection committee should contact these references and evaluate the respondent's experience, work performance, and capacity to perform.
- Proposed costs must be considered in the RFP process

BEST PRACTICE: It is possible to solicit and procure for application preparation services and administrative services in one step. The RFP must clearly indicate that bids are being solicited for both application preparation and administrative services, and must clearly separate the

scope and cost of the services associated with preparing an application and the scope and cost associated with administration of the grant.

Costs associated with application preparation services are not eligible costs under the TxCDBG program.

3. **Sample Contract:** A sample professional services/administrative services contract containing the terms and conditions of the contract between the Grant Recipient and the service provider should be provided as part of the RFP package.

This contract should contain four parts:

- Part I - Agreement
- Part II - Scope of Work
- Part III - Payment Schedule
- Part IV - Terms and Conditions

Step 4.2. RFQ for Professional Services, Including Engineering/Architectural/Surveyor Services

1. **Cover Letter:** The purpose of this letter is to solicit proposals/statements of qualifications from interested parties. This can be tailored to the Grant Recipient's specific project.
2. **Request for Qualifications (RFQ):** The RFQ for professional services provides detailed instructions to respondents and the criteria to be used in evaluating proposals.

The RFQ should include the following components:

- The request for qualifications, including specifications and attachments, must clearly describe the scope of services required and identify the factors that will be used to evaluate proposals.
 - It is possible to solicit and procure for preliminary engineering services and design engineering services in one step. A preliminary engineering report, such as Table 2 of the TxCDBG application which consists of a budget justification identifying project activities/materials with cost estimates, must be prepared by a registered professional. The RFQ must clearly indicate that bids are being solicited for both preliminary engineering and design engineering services and must clearly separate the scope of the services associated with preparing the preliminary engineering report for the TxCDBG application and the scope associated with designing the plans and specifications for the project.
 - The RFQ should request that the proposal include/address the following factors:
 - Statement of qualifications
 - Work experience
 - Capacity to perform the specific proposed task
 - Technical expertise
 - Ability to meet schedules
 - Proximity to the area of the proposed work
 - Familiarity with the area of the proposed work
 - References—a list of past/current clients
3. **Sample Contract:** A sample engineering/architecture/surveying services contract containing the terms and conditions of the contract between the Grant Recipient and the service provider should be provided as part of the RFQ package.

This contract should contain four parts:

- Part I - Agreement
- Part II - Scope of Work
- Part III - Payment Schedule
- Part IV - Terms and Conditions

Step 5. Advertise the RFP/RFQ

In order to create competition for the work, the Grant Recipient must advertise the RFP/RFQ. A sample advertisement is provided that can be altered for the appropriate service. See samples in Appendices D and E. For preapplication procurement of vendors, there is no prohibition on combining the required advertisements for several RFP/RFQ processes into one publication; however, the Grant Recipient must

- advertise in a locally distributed newspaper.
- advertise the solicitation for at least ten days before the proposal submission deadline.

Retain a tear sheet/full-page advertisement/photo copy with publisher's identification and date/publisher's affidavit for proof of advertising for monitoring purposes.

Update the MSR with samples of the advertisements. For instructions on updating the MSR, see *5.7 Record Keeping Procedures* in this chapter.

Step 6. Send RFP/RFQ to at Least Five Individuals/Firms

Prepare a list of potential firms/individuals. TDA's list of certified administrators or firms is available on the TDA website, and the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts' Historically Underutilized Business (HUB) Directory is available on its website. In accordance with Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, successful applicants using TxCDBG funding for housing or other public construction are required, to the greatest extent feasible, to provide training and employment opportunities to lower-income residents and contract opportunities to businesses in the project area.

Grant Recipients should actively take steps to encourage proposals from SBEs, MBEs, and WBEs, as well as Section 3 business concerns. See *Chapter 10*. Although Grant Applicants are not directly responsible for meeting a specific minority business participation goal, TDA reports to HUD on the levels of MBE and WBE hiring under all TxCDBG contracts.

Send the RFP/RFQ package to a minimum of five individuals/firms by email, fax, and/or return receipt mail.

- Document reasons for selecting such individuals/firms for local files.
- Document evidence of contacting five individuals/ firms.
 - If email/fax, print verifiable evidence that email/fax sent.
 - If USPS mail, send return receipt.

The proposal deadline must allow at least ten days after the RFP/RFQ was sent to these individuals/firms.

Step 7. Evaluate and Rate the Proposals

After the proposal submission deadline, the selection committee must rate the proposals received by the deadline, using only the specific selection criteria identified in its RFP/RFQ.

This selection process must be thorough, uniform, and well documented through the rating sheets developed in Step 3.

For professional services, price/cost may **not** be considered until after the successful respondent has been chosen.

Step 8. Select Respondent

The selection committee recommends that the contract be awarded to the respondent with the highest total score if this method is used, or has the most qualifications in the proposal evaluation. The recommendation must meet the reasonable, responsible, and responsive tests according to federal procurement procedures:

- **Reasonable** – Refers to the most suitable, fit, and appropriate respondent to accomplish the project.
- **Responsible** – Refers to the respondent that can fully perform the requirements of the contract and has the integrity and reliability that will assure good faith performance.
- **Responsive** – Refers to the respondent that has submitted a bid/proposal that fully conforms to the information requested in the RFP.

The local governing body has the final authority to award contracts and is encouraged to follow the committee's recommendation, but may select another respondent if the minutes of the local governing body meeting include justification for the selection.

The Grant Recipient must award contracts only to responsible contractors possessing the ability to perform successfully under the terms and conditions of a proposed procurement. Consideration will be given to such matters as contractor integrity, compliance with public policy, record of past performance, and financial and technical resources.

Step 8.1. Selecting the Respondent – For Administrative and Other Professional Services Contracts Other than Engineering/Architectural/Surveyors Services

Determine the most responsive and responsible respondent whose proposal is most advantageous to the program, with price and other factors considered.

The Grant Recipient may conduct negotiations with those offerors who are deemed responsive and responsible and fall within a competitive price range, based on the Grant Recipient's evaluation of bidders' pricing and technical proposals. After negotiations, these bidders may be given the opportunity to submit a best and final offer.

Step 8.2. Selecting the Respondent – For Engineering/Architectural/Surveying Services

Negotiation of price for engineering/architectural/surveyor services must take place after selection is completed; **proposed cost may not be a selection criteria or consideration**. Proposed costs should not be disclosed by Grant Recipient to such bidders until the most qualified bidder has been chosen.

Determine the most qualified provider of services on the basis of demonstrated competence and qualifications.

Once the most highly qualified respondent is identified, request a price proposal. As necessary, negotiate a fair and reasonable price with that provider. Grant Recipient can use negotiation as an opportunity for better pricing or added value.

If negotiations with the most highly qualified respondent are unsuccessful, formally end negotiations with that provider. Select the next most highly qualified provider and attempt to negotiate a fair and reasonable price. Repeat this process to select and negotiate with providers until a fair and reasonable priced contract can be awarded.

5.2.4 Selection of Subrecipient Services Provider

Grant Recipients may also select a non-profit or intergovernmental service provider for administrative or other non-construction services through a subrecipient agreement. The Texas Government Code Chapter 791 allows for Councils of Government to serve in this capacity through an intergovernmental local agreement.

Intergovernmental agreements are not competitive procurements and are not subject to the competitive requirements of this section. However, intergovernmental agreements are subject to Section 5.5 Awards and Contracting, and all agreements must meet HUD requirements for subrecipient agreements as listed at 24 CFR 570.503.

In addition, to ensure an open selection process, intergovernmental agreements for administrative services must be selected by the local governing body prior to the subrecipient performing any work relative to the TxCDBG funded project, including work to prepare the application. Subrecipient agreements selected after beginning work on the project will be disallowed and not eligible for grant reimbursement or match credit.

5.3 Construction Services and Materials Contracts

5.3.1 Applicability

Construction services and materials contracts paid in whole or in part with TxCDBG funds must be procured using either the **Small Purchase** procurement method or the **Sealed Bids** procurement method. Grant Recipients must comply with TxCDBG program requirements in order to be considered eligible for grant funds or match injection.

Environmental clearance must be completed before the Grant Recipient executes any construction or materials contract.

5.3.2 Sealed Bid Procurement – Traditional Method

Sealed bids are publicly solicited and a firm, fixed-price contract (lump sum or unit price) is awarded to the responsible respondent whose bid, conforming with all the material terms and conditions of the

invitation for bids, is lowest and best in price. See **Appendix F and Appendix G** for sample bid and contract documents for construction and material contracts, respectively.

Step 1. Prepare Bid Package

The Grant Recipient must prepare a bid package detailing the specific goods or services to be provided by the contractor. This package should provide sufficient technical information for potential bidders to submit a competitive bid.

- The use of additive alternatives is strongly recommended to give Grant Recipients maximum flexibility to award a contract that fits within the available budget and provides the maximum benefit to the community.
- If a construction contract includes both a TxCDBG project and a separate project not included in the performance statement (Exhibit A of the agreement) or claimed as match, to be paid by the Grant Recipient or another funding source, the construction contract should clearly indicate the work and the costs associated with each project.
- Update the MSR regarding the bid package. For instructions on updating the MSR, see 5.7 *Record Keeping Procedures* in this chapter.

Step 2. Comply with Davis-Bacon Act Requirements

For bids that include construction work, the Grant Recipient must obtain prevailing wage rates for the local area as required by the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts and incorporate those wage rates into the procurement for construction. See *Chapter 7, Davis-Bacon Labor Standards* for specific information on the Davis-Bacon Act.

Update the MSR with DBRA statistics. For instructions on updating the MSR, see 5.7 *Record Keeping Procedures* in this chapter.

Step 3. Advertise for Bids

The procedure for advertising for bids is as follows:

- Publish once a week for two consecutive weeks prior to bid opening;
- For counties, ensure that the first publication date is at least fourteen days prior to the bid opening date;
- For municipalities, ensure that the first publication date is at least fifteen days prior to the bid opening date;
- Ensure that the two published notices are at least seven days apart;
- Describe work or item to be purchased or cite where specifications can be obtained;
- Include time and place for receiving and opening;
- Include name and position of local official or employee to whom bids are sent;
- Indicate whether bidder should use lump-sum or unit pricing;
- Indicate method of payment by Grant Recipient;
- Indicate type(s) of bond(s) required by the bidder;
- Indicate whether contract will be awarded within 30 or 60 days; and
- Identify TxCDBG contract number.
- **If there is no newspaper of general circulation in the city or the county, the notice must be posted at the city hall or in a prominent place in the courthouse for 14 days before the date of the bid opening.** The postings must be documented by affidavit and photo evidence in accordance with public notice policy in *Chapter 1*. If postings are used, the Grant Recipient is encouraged to use web resources such as the Dodge Report and Civcast to further publicize bidding opportunities to qualified contractors.

If an addendum to the bid package is necessary, it must be distributed to each potential bidder. The distribution of an addendum shall be verified either by statements of receipt or registered/certified mail receipts, which shall be included in the public works construction file. The addendum shall allow adequate time for consideration in bid preparation—usually at least one week. If adequate time is not available, the bid opening date must be extended and the Grant Recipient must republish the invitation for bids containing the place, time, and date for the new bid opening.

NOTE: Any change to the original bid opening date will require republication of the invitation for bids at least once in a locally published newspaper. The republished notice will include the place, time and date for the new bid opening and must be published at least seven days prior to the new bid opening date. If the revised bid opening date is more than fourteen days after the originally published date, all required publications must be repeated.

Costs associated with advertising may be eligible TxCDBG costs. However, these costs can only be reimbursed (or claimed as matching funds) from the administrative activity, regardless of purpose. **EXAMPLE:** The cost of construction advertisements may be eligible as an administrative expense only.

Retain a tear sheet/full-page advertisement/photo copy with publisher's identification and date/publisher's affidavit for proof of advertising for monitoring purposes.

Update the MSR with proof of advertising. For instructions on updating the MSR, see 5.7 *Record Keeping Procedures* in this chapter.

Step 4. Promote Participation of Minority-Owned Businesses and Section 3 Business Concerns

The Grant Recipient should actively take steps to encourage proposals from SBEs, MBEs, and WBEs, as well as Section 3 business concerns. See *Chapter 10*, 10.2.2 Section 3 Requirements.

Step 5. Hold the Bid Opening

- Note the date and time of receipt of each bid on the bid envelope, which must be kept with the original bid even following the bid opening.
- Open and read aloud each bid.
- Record the base bid, along with any alternates, on a bid spreadsheet.
- Announce the apparent low bidder and all bidders with complete packets.
- **Electronic Bids** – The Local Government Code permits counties and municipalities to accept bids through electronic transmission if the local governing body has adopted written rules and procedures to ensure the identification, security, and confidentiality of electronic bids.

The procedures must ensure that the electronic bids remain effectively unopened until the proper time to unseal bids. The Grant Recipient must maintain in its project procurement records copies of the written rules/procedures, local governing body minutes verifying adoption of the rules/procedures, documentation of any application software used to accept and administer the electronic bids—and any other documentation deemed necessary to verify compliance with statutory or programmatic requirements.

Step 6. Evaluate and Select the Low Bidder

The Grant Recipient or its designee—usually the engineer—should carefully review the bids submitted and the low bidder’s statement of qualifications and references. Awarding the contract on the same date as the bid opening does not generally allow sufficient time to research and review the documents and ensure that the low bidder has provided all the required forms, bonds, certifications, as well as qualifications and references. A bid that has been opened may not be changed for the purpose of correcting an error in the bid price.

- Municipalities are required by state law to award the contract to the lowest responsible bidder. **Best value** criteria may only be considered if identified in the bid packet. The municipality may reject any and all bids. See Texas Local Government Code Section 252.043(f).
- Counties are required by state law to award the contract to the responsible bidder who submits the lowest and best bid or must reject all bids and publish a new notice. See Texas Local Government Code, Section 262.027(a).

If the bids received exceed the designated budget, the Grant Recipient has the following options:

- Reject all bids and re-bid the project.
- Accept the deductive alternates in the bid packet, if applicable.
- Accept the low bid and provide additional local funds.
- Delete bid items or quantities before contract award if all bidders agree in writing to changes. If using this method, the Grant Recipient must determine the reduced quantities and recalculate the bid totals without requesting any additional bid information from bidders after the bid opening. Only confirmation that the bidder understands the reduced quantities or scope of work and agrees to hold the unit prices originally submitted in their bid may be requested after the bid opening has occurred. No new items may be added and lump sum items cannot be modified under this method.

If all bids received exceed the amount of the construction budget, **THE GRANT RECIPIENT MAY NOT NEGOTIATE THE CONTRACT PRICE SOLELY WITH THE LOW BIDDER.** To allow one bidder to negotiate the contract price is not fair to the other contractors who submit bids. **NEGOTIATION OF THE CONTRACT PRICE SOLELY WITH THE LOW BIDDER WILL RESULT IN THE DISALLOWANCE OF TxCDBG FUNDS FOR CONSTRUCTION COSTS.**

5.3.3 Sealed Bid Procurement – Third Party Cooperative Purchase

A Grant Recipient may choose to purchase certain goods and services through an interlocal agreement with an authorized purchasing cooperative, such as the Texas Association of School Boards, Buy Board, or the Houston-Galveston Area Council (HGACBuy). All state and federal competitive procurement requirements apply to purchases through these entities, however, many steps may be conducted by the third party rather than the Grant Recipient.

The Grant Recipient must retain copies of the cooperative’s competitive procurement procedures. At a minimum, such records should include

- interlocal agreement between the cooperative and local government.
- invitation for bids advertisements.

- documentation of affirmative steps to include small, minority- and women-owned business enterprises in accordance with 2 CFR § 200.321.
- bid tabulation of bids received and opened.
- updating the MSR with third-party cooperative purchases.

5.3.4 Small Purchase Procurement

The small purchase procurement method uses relatively simple and informal procurement methods to secure equipment, non-professional/non-administrative services, supplies or other property that cost, in the aggregate, greater than \$2,000 and less than or equal to \$50,000 in accordance with state law.

Key concepts for small purchase procurement include

- **aggregate cost** – total cost of the project/job including TxCDBG funds and all other funding sources;
- **job** – a project as defined by the engineering plans and specs;
- **separate purchases** – purchases, made separately, of items that in normal purchasing practices would be purchased in one purchase;
- **sequential purchases** – purchases, made over a period, of items that in normal purchasing practices would be purchased in one purchase; and
- **component purchases** – purchases of the component parts of an item that in normal purchasing practices would be purchased in one purchase.

Texas Local Government Code §§ 262.023 (c) and 252.021 specifically prohibit the use of the small purchase procurement method to make separate, sequential, or component purchases of items or services, with the intent of avoiding the competitive bidding and competitive proposal requirements. Separate small purchases for materials/equipment and installation are not considered appropriate if the initial estimate for both material/equipment and associated installation exceeds \$50,000.

- **Separate Purchases:** Purchases, made separately, of items that in normal purchasing practices would be purchased in one purchase.
- **Sequential Purchases:** Purchases, made over a period of time, of items that in normal purchasing practices would be purchased in one purchase.
- **Component Purchases:** Purchases of the component parts of an item that in normal purchasing practices would be purchased in one purchase.

EXAMPLE:

- A water line job is one project - all purchases of pipe, fire hydrants, valves, and fittings are reviewed collectively to determine compliance with the \$50,000 threshold.
- A water storage tank is considered one project, subject to the \$50,000 threshold; site preparation, foundation, piping, valves, welding, etc. are not separate projects.

Prior to beginning small purchase procedures, the Grant Recipient should get an estimate of the cost of construction or, for materials contracts, the cost of both equipment/materials and installation, from the engineer. If the estimated cost is greater than \$50,000, small purchase procurement would not be considered appropriate and is not an allowable cost.

Step 1. Prepare Scope of Request

The Grant Recipient must prepare a brief description of the scope of work being requested, detailing the specific goods or services to be provided by the contractor. This package should provide sufficient technical information for potential respondents to submit a competitive quote.

Update the MSR with scope of request. For instructions on updating the MSR, see *5.7 Record Keeping Procedures* in this chapter.

Step 2. Comply with Davis-Bacon Act Requirements

The Grant Recipient must obtain prevailing wage rates for the local area as required by the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts and incorporate those wage rates into the procurement for construction. See *Chapter 7, Davis-Bacon Labor Standards* for specific information on the Davis-Bacon Act.

Update the MSR with DBRA statistics. For instructions on updating the MSR, see *5.7 Record Keeping Procedures* in this chapter.

Step 3. Contact Three Vendors for Quotes or Estimates

The Grant Recipient must contact a minimum of three firms by phone, in person, or in writing including email, to obtain cost estimates for the goods or services. The responses (including responses that indicate no interest) must be recorded with written quotes or written record of telephone quotes, including the date, price, specifications and other relevant terms, and the name and phone number of both the person providing the quote and the person requesting the quote. These quotes will be uploaded in TDA GO. Retain all written communications from all three firms.

Update the MSR with vendors' names. For instructions on updating the MSR, see *5.7 Record Keeping Procedures* in this chapter.

Step 4. Promote the Participation of SBEs, MBEs, WBEs, and Section 3 Businesses

Grant Recipients should actively take steps to encourage proposals from SBEs, MBEs, and WBEs, as well as Section 3 business concerns.

Although Grant Recipients are not directly responsible for meeting a specific minority business participation goal, TDA reports to HUD on the levels of MBE and WBE hiring under all TxCDBG contracts. For this reason, retain all efforts demonstrating encouragement of SBE, MBE, WBE, and Section 3 businesses.

Step 5. Evaluate Responses and Select the Lowest Quote

The Grant Recipient must determine the lowest responsible, responsive vendor, based on the responses to the request for quotes. The Grant Recipient must not select a respondent that did not submit the lowest quote that met all established criteria.

5.3.5 Micro-Purchases

For purchases of supplies or services that are less than or equal to \$2,000 in the aggregate, the Grant Recipient may use processes that expedite the transaction and minimize the associated administrative burden.

Step 1. Identify Supplies or Services Needed

The Grant Recipient should identify all supplies or materials that were not procured as part of the larger project. Local records must indicate the justification for not including these supplies or services with competitive procurement actions. All micro-purchases for the TxCDBG project must not exceed \$2,000 cumulatively.

Step 2. Identify a Vendor or Supplier to Meet the Need

The micro-purchase orders may be awarded without soliciting any competitive quotations if the Grant Recipient considers the costs to be reasonable and local laws and policies do not prohibit this method of procurement; however, the use of purchase orders does not exempt the Grant Recipient from the requirements of Section 5.5 Awards and Contracting. The Grant Recipient is required to distribute micro-purchases equitably among qualified suppliers.

EXAMPLE: Purchase of signage in the amount of \$1,500 can be treated as a micro-purchase. No competitive quotations would be necessary for the purchase and a price analysis would not be required. However, the Grant Recipient must consider whether to make the purchase from any one of several sign stores. Grant Recipients may require that the purchase of signage rotate among qualified suppliers if they offer the same rates.

No MSR entry is required for Micro-purchases; record the amount and purpose of each micro-purchase on the TDA-GO Grant Overview page.

TDA will monitor the use of micro-purchases to ensure that they are not being used to circumvent competitive procurement. Materials and construction should be purchased through small purchase or sealed bids procurement whenever possible; micro-purchases are generally made for unanticipated costs.

5.4 Non-Competitive Proposal Procurement

Non-competitive procurement may be used when the award of a contract is not feasible under small purchase, sealed bid, or competitive proposal procedures. This may be due to single source availability or inadequate competition—determined after solicitation of a number of sources. Non-competitive procurement is most often used for emergency situations and disaster projects.

Grant Recipients must receive prior approval from TDA before using non-competitive proposal procurement. All requests for non-competitive procurement must be submitted in writing by the Grant Recipient.

TDA will consider the use of non-competitive proposal procurement under the following situations. See 2 CFR § 200.320(f):

- **Sole source** – This method allows procurement through solicitation of a proposal from only one source when the item or service is only available from a single source;
- **Public urgency/emergency situations** – State laws generally allow noncompetitive negotiations in such cases where the urgency for carrying out the project will not permit delays caused by competitive advertising/solicitation. Grant Recipients requesting such exemptions are those typically funded under the State Urgent Need (SUN) Fund;
- The condition of bids being over budget alone does not create a qualifying condition of inadequate competition or justification for non-competitive procurement. Grant Recipients must demonstrate multiple attempts to competitively procure the goods or services, including changes to specifications, project scope, timeline, or other constraints to address any barriers to participation, before TDA will consider approving non-competitive procurement under this provision.

5.5 Steps for Award and Contracting

Regardless of the method of procurement or selection for a contractor or service provider, the Grant Recipient must complete the following steps for each purchase.

5.5.1 SAM Clearance

The Grant Recipient must verify the contractor/service provider's eligibility to participate in the federally-funded project through the System for Award Management (www.SAM.gov). The Grant Recipient must verify that the contractor is neither debarred, suspended, or otherwise excluded. Record this verification with a dated screen shot for the Grant Recipient's records. Eligibility of all contractors/service providers must be verified through the SAM website prior to any formal action authorizing the award of the contract to the contractor/service provider. Examples of formal action include, but are not limited to, authorizing resolution, authorizing ordinance, council approval of award, contract execution, etc.

Update the MSR with SAM clearance information and screen shot. For instructions on updating the MSR, see *5.7 Record Keeping Procedures* in this chapter.

5.5.2 Award by Governing Body

The Grant Recipient's governing body must award the contract(s) to the selected contractor/service provider.

- **Competitive Proposals Only** – The local governing body has the final authority to award contracts but may select another Respondent if the minutes of the local governing body meeting include justification for the selection. The local governing body is encouraged to follow the committee's recommendation.
- **Sealed Bids and Small Purchase Only** – The Grant Recipient must award the contract to the lowest appropriate bidder. If the contract is awarded to a bidder that does not propose the lowest price, the Grant Recipient must document the decision in compliance with Texas Local

Government Code Section 252.043 (municipalities) or Section 262.027 (counties), as applicable.

Update the MSR with contract award information. For instructions on updating the MSR, see *5.7 Record Keeping Procedures* in this chapter.

5.5.3 Prepare and Execute Contract/Agreement

Regardless of the type of procurement used, the Grant Recipient must execute a contract to document the goods and/or services to be purchased, the agreed upon price, and the contractor's or supplier's mandatory compliance with all applicable state and federal requirements. If the Grant Recipient uses a purchase order in lieu of a contract, it must similarly document goods, services, price, and all programmatically required provisions.

NOTE: The amount of the contract **must** exactly match the amount awarded by the local governing body.

In all contracts, Grant Recipients and contractors must specifically refer to the project or provide detailed information regarding the work being funded. The following appendices of the TxCDBG Implementation Manual include sample contracts that may be used for various purchases:

- **Appendix D** – Administrative services
- **Appendix E** – Engineering services
- **Appendix F** – Construction services
- **Appendix G** – Materials

In addition to other federal and state provisions required, all contracts or purchase orders must address, if applicable, the following provisions. See also **Appendix B** for required contract provisions).

- **Debarment and suspension** (executive orders 12549 and 12689): A contract award must not be made to parties listed on the government wide exclusions list in SAM.
- **Amendments:** Procedures for amending the contract, including the scope of work and/or compensation section, and the addition of details known only once the grant project is awarded by TDA.
- **Termination:** Explaining circumstances under which the Grant Recipient or other party can cancel the contract (e.g., unsatisfactory performance).
- **Access to Records:** Provides TDA or other government entity with access to any documents of the Grant Recipient pertinent to the TxCDBG award.
- **Retention of Records for Three Years:** Retain all required records for three years after the Grant Recipient makes its final payment and all pending matters are closed.
 - NOTE:** The Grant Recipient must retain files beyond this period as described in the program overview chapter record keeping/administration section.
- **Section 3 Provision** (24 CFR § 75.27) for all contracts for construction projects receiving more than \$200,000 in CDBG assistance. The provision is not required for materials-only or equipment contracts and for non-construction services that require an advanced degree or professional licensing, including services identified in the Professional Services Procurement Act.
- **Equal Opportunity Clause** (for contracts over \$10,000) in compliance with 41 CFR § 60-1.4(b).
- **Anti-lobbying certification** (all contracts ≥\$100,000).

Required Contract Provisions – Non-Construction Services

In addition to the above requirements, the service provider contract or agreement must include the following at a minimum:

- **Names of Both Parties** – Grant Recipient and service provider.
- **Effective Dates** – Starting and ending dates.
NOTE: TxCDBG funds and matching funds may only be used to pay/reimburse for services dated after both the grant agreement start date (or approved pre-agreement date identified in the contract or award notice) AND the services contract start date.
- **Scope of Services** – Either directly written into the contract or provided as an attachment that is incorporated into the contract.
- **Compensation** – Including the maximum amount of the contract as either a firm, fixed-price contract, or a not to exceed cost-reimbursable type contract.
- **Local Program Liaison** – Identification of a local public official as the consultant's primary contact.
- **Resolution of Program Non-compliance** – Procedures for determining the party responsible for any disallowed costs as a result of non-compliance with federal, state, or program requirements.
- **On-site Inspections** – Ensure that the complete work conforms with the approved plans and specifications (engineering contract only).
- **Optional** – If a service provider is preselected and a contract is entered into prior to grant award, the contract should include a statement which states that in the event TxCDBG funds are not awarded to an applicant, the contract shall be terminated by the applicant.

Required Contract Provisions – Construction Contracts

In addition to the preceding, construction contracts must also address the following:

- For construction contracts greater than \$2,000, compliance with the Davis-Bacon Act (40 U.S.C. 3141 *et seq.*) as supplemented by Department of Labor regulations (29 CFR § part 5) is satisfied by inserting HUD 4010 in construction contract. See **Appendix B**.
- For construction contracts greater than \$2,000, compliance with the Copeland “Anti-Kickback” Act (40 U.S.C. 3145) as supplemented in Department of Labor regulations (29 CFR § part 3) is satisfied by inserting HUD 4010 in construction contract. See **Appendix B**.
- For construction contracts greater than \$100,000, compliance with Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (40 U.S.C. 3701–3708)—including work week requirements and safety conditions for workers—is satisfied by inserting HUD 4010 in construction contract. See **Appendix B**.
- For contracts greater than \$150,000, include the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.) and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1251-1387), as amended.
- Update the MSR with construction contract information. For instructions on updating the MSR, see *5.7 Record Keeping Procedures* in this chapter.

5.4.4 Workers’ Compensation Requirements

Texas Labor Code § 406.096 requires a governmental entity that enters into a building or construction contract to obtain written certification that the contractor provides workers' compensation insurance coverage for each employee of the contractor employed on the public project. Subcontractors must also provide the governmental entity with proof of coverage. Additionally, the governmental entity must include in bid specifications and contracts specific language and provisions found in 28 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) §110.110(c)(7). Grant Recipients are responsible for compliance with all

applicable statutory policies. The Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation regulates and enforces workers' compensation requirements and may be contacted at 800-372-7713 for more information.

5.4.5 Bonding Information

Grant Recipients must ensure that they are meeting all applicable federal, state and local bonding and procurement requirements.

For construction or facility improvement contracts or subcontracts, the minimum bonding requirements for the Grant Recipient which includes a performance bond and a payment bond, if applicable, are as follows:

- A **performance bond** on the part of the contractor for 100 percent of the contract price for contracts that is greater than \$100,000. A **performance bond** is one executed in connection with a contract to secure fulfillment of all the contractor's obligations under such contract (Texas Government Code Chapter 2253).
- A **payment bond** is one executed in connection with a contract to assure payment as required by law of all persons supplying labor and/or material in the execution of the work provided for in the contract. Required payment bond(s) must be filed within 30 days from the date of the Notice of Award (Texas Government Code Chapter 2253).
 - **Municipalities:** If the contract is in excess of \$50,000, a payment bond is required. Government Code 2253.021(a)(2)(B).
 - **Counties:** If the contract exceeds \$25,000, a payment bond is required. Government Code 2253.021 (a)(2)(A).
- A **bid bond** from each bidder equivalent to five percent of the bid price for contracts that are greater than \$100,000. The **bid guarantee** shall consist of a firm commitment issued by a surety company authorized by the Texas Department of Insurance accompanying a bid as assurance that the bidder will, upon acceptance of his bid, execute such contractual documents as may be required within the time specified.

Bonds are not required on contracts for non-construction services, equipment, or materials.

5.6 Changes to an Executed Contract

5.6.1 Change Orders

When changes to an executed construction, materials, or equipment purchase contract are necessary due to a change in specifications, decrease or increase in the quantity of work to be performed, or of materials, equipment or supplies to be furnished, or the contract duration, the Grant Recipient must be documented through a change order or addendum to the contract.. In addition, the Grant Recipient must complete the MSR Change Request form in TDA-GO. **TDA must approve all change orders** to ensure costs are eligible and procured according to TxCDBG requirements.

Minimum Requirements for Contract Changes

- Grant Recipient must have sufficient grant or local funds available to meet any increased costs.
- Changes requested that are not directly related to the construction project and/or requesting additional supplies and or activities solely due to unused grant funds will not be approved.
- Changes must be appropriate and required for the specific TxCDBG project.
- If a change order includes new items, the Grant Recipient must demonstrate competitive pricing. A statement from the project engineer providing justification for the proposed pricing may be acceptable. TDA may request more supporting documentation for new items that differ significantly from the original bid, e.g., additional work added to grant contract due to available funds.
- Change orders for an increase of more than 25% will be rejected. The Grant Recipient must complete a separate procurement if the proposed additional work project exceeds 25% of the original contract. The State of Texas considers a change in the construction contract price of greater than 25% to be non-competitive, as other potential bidders did not have the opportunity to bid on the true scope of the project during the procurement process.
- If a change order involves a decrease or an increase of greater than \$50,000, the Grant Recipient must receive appropriate approval by the governing body. See Texas Local Gov't Code, §252.048 (city) and §262.031 (county). In such cases, TDA will accept as confirmation that such approval has been obtained either the signature by the chief local official on the Construction Change Order or action by the Authorized Official to submit the change order request in TDA-GO.
- **TDA strongly recommends that Grant Recipients obtain TDA approval before fully executing a change order or addendum with the contractor/service provider.** Before approving a change order for a construction contract, TDA must have confirmation via the TDA-GO form that both the Grant Recipient and project engineer have determined the proposed change is appropriate.

Examples of Cost Justification

- Minor change in material size, comparable change in price
- Cost is comparable to recent bids for similar projects in this area
- Cost is reasonable, accounting for material pricing plus labor costs

Municipalities

- Change orders may not increase the original contract price by more than 25%. See Texas Local Gov't Code 252.048(d).
- Decreases in the original contract price of more than 25% require written contractor consent. See Texas Local Gov't Code 252.048(d).

Counties

- The original contract may not be increased by more than 25% unless required by state or federal law, rule or regulation after the contract is made. See Texas Local Gov't Code 262.031(b).
- Grant Recipient must provide written justification, including citation to the appropriate source, for review.
- Decreases to the original contract of more than 18% must have the contractor's written consent. See Texas Local Gov't Code 262.031(b).

TDA will not reimburse the Grant Recipient or credit the Grant Recipient's match commitment for work listed on a change order unless the **change order has been submitted and approved**. Requests for payment for unapproved change orders will be put on hold at TDA's discretion.

If the change order is executed without TDA approval, the Grant Recipient is obligated to pay for any changes that TDA does not approve. For these reasons, TDA recommends that the Grant Recipient submit the change order to TDA prior to fully executing the change order.

Grant Recipients must submit **all** change orders on a contract, whether or not the work will be paid with grant or matching funds, so that TDA's TxCDBG staff has a complete record of the contract. Any difference in price from the original contract to the *Certificate of Construction Completion* must be accounted for by a change order.

Project changes reflected in a change order may also require an amendment to the TxCDBG contract Performance Statement or budget. These items should be submitted together as described in *Chapter 11, Contract Amendments*. Prior to executing any change order that makes substantial changes to the project and is likely to change the activities, location, or beneficiaries as described in the Performance Statement, the Grant Recipient should contact TDA. **TDA will approve a change order only when the revised project is consistent with the contract Performance Statement.**

5.6.2 Performance Bond Claims and Assignment to New Contractor

State law requires performance and payment bonds for contracts at or above specific dollar thresholds. These bonds require the parties to a construction agreement to abide by provisions of the surety bond when processing a claim. When performance issues with the original contractor cannot be resolved, a bonding company will customarily appoint a different contractor to complete the work. This should only be done in accordance with the surety's terms. In addition, the original construction contract must contain a termination clause for contracts that are greater than \$10,000, and should contain an assignability and novation clause. These provisions would permit a Grant Recipient to terminate a contract for cause or convenience and to re-assign completion of the project to a different contractor under the terms of the performance bond.

The Grant Recipient must rely on their legal counsel to ensure that termination and assignability are performed in accordance with conditions of the contract, and in compliance with federal, state, and local codes and regulations. The Grant Recipient must maintain detailed records of communications and formal actions taken which resulted in the termination of the original prime contractor and which prompted the claim to be filed with the performance bond surety company.

Payment requests for costs associated with performance bond claims must clearly identify the costs for work performed only:

- Where the Grant Recipient disburses funds directly to the bond company, the bond company is responsible for issuing payments to material suppliers, subcontractors, and the prime – neither TDA nor the Grant Recipient will track these disbursements, as the bond company has taken responsibility for the work and payment processes. The bond company or prime contractor must provide invoices detailing the work completed to date and associated pricing,

as outlined in the contract. Any payments owed to the prime contractor are a matter between the contractor and the bond company.

- Eligible costs must not exceed the amount of the original construction contract, plus any change orders prior to the performance bond claim. **Any additional expenses required to complete the project that exceed this maximum amount will be the responsibility of the city or bond company.**
- Alternatively, the Grant Recipient and bond company may opt to fully document all disbursements of funds under the construction contract to the prime contractor and associated subcontractors or material suppliers. In this case, TDA may consider a change order for additional work required to be performed to resolve all performance issues.
- TDA may accept a Certificate of Construction Completion (COCC) with written notice from the bond company that all work has been completed in lieu of the contractor's signature.

If a new contract is executed by the Grant Recipient the replacement contractor selected by the bonding company, a new *Material and Services Record* must be completed in TDA-GO, selecting **bond claim resolution** as the type of procurement.

5.7 Recordkeeping Procedures

5.7.1 TDA-GO Material and Services Report

The TDA-GO Material and Services Report records the relevant program information for a specific prime contractor or service provider contracted for work on the project. It is the Grant Recipient's responsibility to ensure information is up to date for each MSR as the project progresses.

Initiate Material and Services Report When a Need is Identified

To initiate a new MSR, navigate to the Related Documents section of the navigation menu, select **Initiate Related Doc** and confirm the Parent Document (Application Number) and report type.

The screenshot shows the 'Initiate Related Document' form in the TDA-GO system. The form is titled 'Initiate Related Document' and includes instructions: 'Select a parent document and available related document. Use the Create button to initiate the related document.' It contains three input fields: 'Document Identifier' with a search prompt 'Search parent documents by identifier...', 'Parent Document' with a dropdown menu showing 'CDV21-0108', and 'Available Documents' with a dropdown menu showing 'Materials and Services Report 2021'. A blue 'Create' button is at the bottom right. On the left, a navigation menu shows 'Related Documents' expanded, with 'Initiate Related Doc' highlighted by a red box.

Figure 1. How to initiate an MSR—start by selecting Initiate Related Document

Initial information to be completed includes

- MSR Main Form – Pre-Selection Information section; and
- MSR Labor Standards page – see *Chapter 7, Labor Standards*.

The screenshot displays a web application interface for the MSR Main Form. On the left is a vertical navigation menu with the header 'CDV21-0108-MSR-02'. The menu items include 'Forms' (expanded), 'Materials and Services Record Main Form' (highlighted with a red box), 'MSR Labor Standards', 'MSR Subcontractors', 'Status Options', 'MSR Vendor Selection Submitted', 'Tools', and 'Landing Page'. The main content area is titled 'Pre-Selection Information'. It contains a 'Type of Work' section with three radio button options: 'Administrative/Engineering/Professional Services', 'Materials/Eligible Equipment Purchase', and 'Construction Services'. Below this is a 'Description of Work' section with a large text input area. At the bottom of the form, there is a 'Type of Procurement/Selection Process' dropdown menu and an 'Anticipated Date for Bid Opening/Responses' field with a calendar icon.

Figure 2. Select Materials and Services Record Main Form

Save the pages, **but do not submit the MSR for TDA staff review currently**. TDA's Labor Standards Specialist will review the MSR Labor Standards page periodically and will confirm the appropriate wage decision. Please allow sufficient time for this review.

Update the MSR Prior to Bidding/Solicitation

As the Grant Recipient prepares to publicize the contracting opportunity, complete the MSR Main Form – Pre-Selection Clearance section. This information must be entered at least ten days prior to confirmed bid opening date. This date is:

- For sealed bids procurements, the published date by which bids must be submitted by potential contractors;
- For small purchase procurements, the date on which quotes are solicited; and
- For professional and non-construction services, the date proposals must be submitted by potential vendors.

If urgent local conditions do not allow for ten days notice, contact the assigned TDA staff for guidance. No exception will be made solely in order to meet program or contract deadlines.

Figure 3. Fill out the MSR

Update the MSR with the Procurement Results

Following the bid opening and contract award, update the MSR Main to report the following sections:

- Selected Provider;
- Documentation of Selection Process;
- Key Dates;
- Financial Interest Disclosure; and
- Reporting Information.

NOTE: Federal tax identification numbers (TINs) are required for every contractor/service provider. Federal TINs are nine digits and must be entered in the format “##-#####”. For sole proprietors, do s enter the owner’s Social Security number, but instead report as 00-0000000.

In addition, complete the MSR Subcontractor page. Select the **Add** button to generate a new page for each subcontractor that will participate in the prime contract.

Figure 4. The Add button will generate a new page for each subcontractor.

Once these pages are completed, use the Status Options section of the navigation menu to change the status of the document to *MSR Vendor Selection Submitted*, sending the information to TDA staff for review and acceptance.

Update the MSR for Change Orders

If a change to the contract becomes necessary after TDA staff has accepted the MSR, select the status option **MSR Change Order in Process** to record the proposed vendor contract change.

Figure 5. Select MSR Change Order in Process to begin the contract change request.

Complete the form, including providing appropriate justification for the change as described in section 5.6.1 of this chapter. In the section titles **Change to Contract Amount**, enter either positive or negative amounts, as appropriate, to reflect the difference between the originally anticipated expenditures and the revised amounts. These figures will be used to calculate the total revised contract amount on the MSR Main Form.

Financial Interest Disclosure				
	Original	Cumulative Change Orders	Current	Percent Difference from Original
Total Contract Amount *	\$ 100,000.00	\$1,500.00	\$101,500.00	1.50 %
Amount to be funded by TxDBG *	\$ 95,000	\$-500.00	\$94,500.00	-0.53 %
Amount to be funded by Match *	\$ 5,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$7,000.00	40.00 %
Amount to be funded by additional Local Funds	\$0.00	\$	\$0.00	0.00 %
Ineligible Project Costs		\$0.00		

Figure 6. Financial Interest Disclosure on the MSR

Once completed, submit the change for TDA approval by **changing the status in the navigation menu to MSR Change Order Submitted**. This will alert TDA staff to review the submission.

Update the MSR After Work is Completed

When all work has been completed, all inspection punch list items are addressed, all change orders have been approved, and all subcontractors have been reported, change the status in the navigation menu to MSR Contract Completion In Process.

- Complete the **Final Wage Compliance** page – see *Chapter 7 Labor Standards*; and
- Complete the **Work Completed** page. Generate the Certificate of Construction using the link provided, obtain all required signatures, and upload to the **Work Completed** page.

CDV2021055-MSR-01

Forms

Materials and Services Record Main Form

MSR Labor Standards

Final Wage Compliance

MSR Change Orders

MSR Subcontractors

Work Completed

Status Options

MSR Contract Completion Submitted

Work Completed

Instructions:
Please complete this page and press the save button.
Required field are marked with an *

Date Work Completed (and inspected if required) *

Note: For Administrative services, completion date excludes preparation of Closeout documentation.

9/30/2021

Contractual Liquidated Damages, if applicable

Note: Contractor will not be paid and Grant Recipient will not be reimbursed for these costs

\$ 0.00

Payment Outstanding to Vendor/Subrecipient *

\$ 3,500.00

Click [HERE](#) to generate a Certificate of Construction Completion.

Construction contracts only: upload a copy of the signed COCC. *

Select

Drag Files Here

handy smurf.png

119.52 KB

×

Figure 7. Once ALL work has been completed, generate a COCC.

Once both pages are completed, change the status of the MSR to *MSR Contract Completion Submitted*, which will alert TDA staff to review the forms.

5.7.2 Local Records

The Grant Recipient must maintain records that are detailed enough to show the history of each procurement. At a minimum, records must demonstrate how the Grant Recipient

- executed price sampling for small purchases, or established/published RFP solicitation;
- selected the method of procurement and the type of contract to be used;
- determined the bids or proposals to accept and the ones to reject; and
- computed the basis for the contract cost or price.

The Grant Recipient must submit a Materials and Services Record in TDA-GO for all businesses and other entities contracted to provide products or services in whole or in part for a TxCDBG project, including those that will be wholly or partially paid with other funding sources. This report:

- describes the work to be performed by the business or other entity;
- verifies that all required clearances have been completed prior to executing a contract with the business or other entity;
- discloses the financial interest of the business or other entity;
- provides minority business enterprise information for the business owner, however not required for councils of government and other non-business entities;
- identifies Section 3 business concerns and anticipated Section 3 employment opportunities; and
- provides Davis-Bacon wage decision numbers and other key contract dates.

5.7.1 Micro-purchases

The Grant Recipient must maintain copies of all purchase orders and, if possible, show evidence of distributing purchases equitably among suppliers.

For micro-purchases, no Materials and Services Record is required. Instead, complete the **Additional Costs** section of the TDA-GO Grant Overview page.

5.7.2 Competitive Proposal – Non-construction Services

The Grant Recipient must establish and maintain separate files for records relating to the procurement of a management consultant, engineer or other service provider to detail the procurement process undertaken. The files for professional services or administrative services procurement should contain the following information:

- Proof of advertisement with a tear sheet/full-page advertisement/photo copy with publisher's identification and date/publisher's affidavit for proof of advertising for monitoring purposes.
- Proof that five or more firms/individuals were contacted for proposals.
- A complete RFP packet, including cover letter, request for proposal, rating sheet (if utilized), and a sample contract.

- Proof that all proposals were received by the Grant Recipient, with note or stamped date/time received.
- Verification that the firm and principals of firm are not on the SAM.gov debarred or suspended list; a printout of SAM.gov page with date is required.
- Meeting minutes documenting the award.
- An executed contract.
- If planning project, see Chapter 3 for environmental review documentation.

5.7.3 Construction and Material Purchases

The Grant Recipient must establish and maintain separate files for records relating to the procurement of a construction contractor or materials supplier to detail the procurement process undertaken. The files for construction and material purchases procurement should contain

- bid documents with labor standards provisions included;
- copies of all bid addenda, if any;
- contract documents with Labor Standards Provisions included;
- actual advertisements for bids—either full page or with publisher's affidavits—or evidence of quotes/estimates received for small purchase procurement;
- bids received or quotes received;
- minutes of the bid opening;
- verification that the firm and principals of the firm are **not** on the SAM.gov debarred or suspended list; provide a printout of SAM.gov page with date;
- a signed *Certificate Regarding Lobbying (Form 80-0013)* if contract is greater than \$100,000;
- bid tabulation sheets, if applicable; and
- a copy of council/commissioner court action awarding the contract.

NOTE: After contract award, the opened bids must be kept on file and available for inspection by anyone desiring to see them. However, any trade secrets and/or confidential information in the proposals are subject to the restrictions of Section 252.049(b) of the Texas Local Government Code and Chapter 552 of the Texas Government Code.

5.8 Federal and State Laws Governing Procurement of Services, Supplies and Non-Real Property

The laws and regulations described in this section apply to the procurement of services, supplies or non-real property in TxCDBG-funded projects.

5.8.1 State Laws & Regulations

NOTE: The full text of state statutes may be found online at: <http://www.legis.state.tx.us/>.

Texas Local Government Code – *Chapter 252, Municipalities, and Chapter 262, Counties*

Chapter 252 Municipal Grant Recipients Construction Bidding Procedures

Municipal Grant Recipients are generally required to conduct competitive sealed bid procurement for all contracts over \$50,000.

Municipalities are required by state law to advertise their invitation for bids in a newspaper published in the municipality at least once a week for two consecutive weeks. The date of the first publication must be before the 14th day before the date set to publicly open the bids. If no newspaper is published in the municipality, the notice must be posted at city hall for fourteen days prior to the date of the bid opening. See Texas Local Government Code Section 252.041(a).

Chapter 262 County Grant Recipients Construction Bidding Procedures

County Grant Recipients are generally required to conduct competitive sealed bid procurement for all contracts over \$50,000.

Counties are required by state law to publish a notice in a newspaper of general circulation in the county at least once a week for two consecutive weeks, with the first day of publication occurring at least fourteen days before the date of the bid opening. If there is no newspaper of general circulation in the county, the notice must be posted in a prominent place in the courthouse for fourteen days prior to the date of the bid opening. See Texas Local Government Code Section 262.025(a).

Texas Government Code – Chapter 2253 Public Work Performance and Payment Bonds covers bonding requirements.

Texas Government Code – Chapter 2254. This chapter is also known as the Professional Service Procurement Act. Chapter 2254 governs the local government procurement of professional services. It prohibits the procurement of architects, engineers, or surveyors, based on bid price and requires government entities to first select the most highly qualified provider based on demonstrated competence and qualifications, and then attempt to negotiate with that provider a contract at a fair and reasonable price.

Texas Local Government Code – Chapter 171. This chapter requires local government officials to disclose conflicts of interest and sets forth rules that require officials to abstain where they are in a position to vote or make a decision on any matter involving a business entity or real property for which they have an interest.

Texas Engineering Practice Act – Chapter 1001 Texas Occupations Code. This Act states that a registered professional engineer must be hired to prepare plans, specifications, and estimates for any public works activities in accordance with this Act. The engineer must directly supervise the project in order to ensure the public health, safety, and welfare; however, this Act does not apply to road maintenance or betterment work undertaken by counties.

Procurement Standards – Texas Grant Management Standards (UGMS), Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts

Conflict of Interest – Nepotism and conflict of interest regulations can be found in the Texas Government Code *Chapter 573*, Texas Local Government Code *Chapter 171*, and UGMS.

5.8.2 Federal Laws & Regulations

24 CFR § 570

Portions of 2 CFR § 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, as further specified by program regulations in 24 CFR § Part 570 HUD Conflict of Interest regulations at 24 CFR § 570.489(g) and (h).